UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
GLORIA CAVALUZZI,	11 CIV 3545 (CS)
Plaintiff,	ANSWER
-against-	
PRECISION PIPELINE, LLC and MILLENNIUM PIPELINE COMPANY, LLC,	

Defendants.

The defendant, PRECISION PIPELINE, LLC, by its attorneys, LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP, answering the plaintiff's Complaint, herein upon information and belief:

- 1. Denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "1" and "4" through "22".
- 2. Admits the allegations contained in the paragraphs of the complaint designated "2" and "3".
- 3. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "23" through "27".

AS TO THE ALLEGED SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 4. Defendant repeats and realleges its answers to the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "27" of the Complaint which is recited in paragraph "28" thereof, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein at length.
- 5. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "29" through "33".

AS TO THE ALLEGED THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 6. Defendant repeats and realleges its answers to the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "33" of the Complaint which is recited in paragraph "34" thereof, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein at length.
- 7. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "35" through "38".

AS TO THE ALLEGED FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 8. Defendant repeats and realleges its answers to the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "38" of the Complaint which is recited in paragraph "39" thereof, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein at length.
- 9. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "40" through "43".

AS TO THE ALLEGED SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 10. Defendant repeats and realleges its answers to the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "43" of the Complaint which is recited in paragraph "44" thereof, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein at length.
- 11. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "45" through "47".

AS TO THE ALLEGED SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

12. Defendant repeats and realleges its answers to the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "47" of the Complaint which is recited in paragraph "48" thereof, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein at length.

13. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "49" through "51".

AS TO THE ALLEGED SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 14. Defendant repeats and realleges its answers to the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "51" of the Complaint which is recited in paragraph "52" thereof, with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein at length.
- 15. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint numbered "53" through "55".

AS AND FOR A FIRST AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any damages allegedly sustained by plaintiff were caused by plaintiff's own contributory negligence and/or culpable conduct.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That upon information and belief, the plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, were caused by the intervening acts of third parties unrelated to this answering defendant.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a cause of action.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This action is barred by lack of timeliness as it was brought after the applicable statute of limitations had run.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred from bringing suit on the ground of laches.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AND COMPLETE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That plaintiff has failed to set forth a cause of action under Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000(e).

WHEREFORE, defendant demands judgment dismissing plaintiff's complaint against it, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and for any expense incurred by them in the defense thereof, including attorneys' fees.

Dated: New York, New York July 28, 2011

Daniel T. Hughes, Es

(DH-4622)

Litchfield Cavo, LLP

420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2104

New York, NY 10170

(212) 434-0100

F: (212) 434-0105

Attorneys for Defendant PRECISION PIPELINE, LLC

To: Joseph Ranni, Esq. Ranni Law Firm 148 North Main Street Florida, NY 10921 (845) 651-0999 F: (845) 651-5111

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Cullen and Dykman LLP Garden City Center 100 Quentin Roosevelt Boulevard Garden City, NY 11530 (516) 357-3700 F: (516) 357-2792

Attorneys for MILLENNIUM PIPELINE COMPANY, LLC